

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-1305V

Filed: June 7, 2016

Unpublished

\*\*\*\*\*

JUANITA MESSICK, \*

\*

Petitioner, \*

v. \*

\*

Attorneys' Fees and Costs;

SECRETARY OF HEALTH \*

Special Processing Unit ("SPU")

AND HUMAN SERVICES, \*

\*

Respondent. \*

\*

\*\*\*\*\*

*Paul R. Brazil, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for petitioner.*

*Heather L. Pearlman, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

**Dorsey**, Chief Special Master:

On November 2, 2015, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she received an influenza ("flu") vaccine on November 15, 2014,<sup>3</sup> and thereafter suffered injuries to her left shoulder. On May 11, 2016, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on the respondent's proffer. (ECF No. 18).

On May 13, 2016, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 20). Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$17,536.00 and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$1,066.24 for a total amount of \$18,602.24. *Id.* at 2. In

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

<sup>3</sup> The vaccination record indicates the date of vaccination was November 14, 2014. Pet Ex. 1 at 5-6.

accordance with General Order #9, petitioner's counsel represents that petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.*

On May 23, 2016, respondent filed a response stating that she "does not object to the overall amount sought, as it is not an unreasonable amount to have been incurred for proceedings in this case to date." (ECF No. 23). She added, however, that the "lack of objection to the amount sought in this case should not be construed as admission, concession, or waiver as to the hourly rates requested, the number of hours billed, or the other litigation related costs." *Id.*

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of opposition from respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

**Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$18,602.24<sup>4</sup> as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel Paul R. Brazil.**

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>5</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**  
Nora Beth Dorsey  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>4</sup> This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally *Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.